

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,858	10/20/2005		Doris Reich	3456	1047
²⁷⁸ MICHAEL J. 1	7590 STRIKFR	07/23/2007		EXAMINER	
103 EAST NECK ROAD				RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
HUNTINGTO	HUNTINGTON, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3723	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/553,858	REICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maurina Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	in from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom ocholaciation.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.	·	•				
7) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	·				
	olooton roquirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2005 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* **	4				
oce the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u .				
AM						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/05, 11/14/05. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
Caremano Macemano Unice						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. Claims 9 and 10 depend from claim 8, which depends from one of claims 1-7, of which claims 5 and 6 are multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8-10 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DE 9012439, figure 3, cited by applicant; or Kraznai et al, 5,065,473, figure 3 and column 3, lines 15-37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3723

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 9012439, figure 3, cited by applicant; or Kraznai et al, 5,065,473, figure 3 and column 3, lines 15-37, in view of Lenkiewisz et al, 2005/0050672. '439 or '473 do not disclose two filling marks located at a right angle to each other are provided in the viewing window to indicate the level of dust when the container is horizontal or vertical; the viewing window is right-angled and the filling marks are formed by two lines extending in parallel to one window edge each, one line of which extending close to the long window edge at the top when the dust-collection container is oriented vertically, and the other line extending close to the front, short window edge pointing close to the dust-intake opening. In a collection device, '672 teaches providing two fill marks located at a right angle to each other in the viewing window, the viewing window is right-angled and the filling marks are formed by two lines extending in parallel to one window edge each, one line of which extending close to the long window edge at the top when the collection container is oriented vertically, and the other line extending close to the front, short window edge pointing close to an intake opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided '439 or '473 with the right angled fill marks as taught by '672, figures 3

Art Unit: 3723

and 3a, to allow the user to determine the amount in the container when the container is horizontal or vertical, see [0041].

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar devices are cited of interest.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272 4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723 Page 4